

# Building Public Sector Capacity to Address HIV/AIDS

The Role of the Private Sector

## Jane Nelson

Senior Fellow and Director, Corporate Social Responsibility Initiative  
John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University

April 2005 Working Paper No. 8

A Working Paper of the:  
**Corporate Social Responsibility Initiative**

A Cooperative Project among:  
The Mossavar-Rahmani Center for Business and Government  
The Center for Public Leadership  
The Hauser Center for Nonprofit Organizations  
The Joan Shorenstein Center on the Press, Politics and Public Policy



# CONTENTS

## I. Introduction

A compelling humanitarian, economic and business case



## I. INTRODUCTION

*Corporate activity must extend beyond the traditional horizons of the local community to build partnerships with other stakeholders. Achieving necessary scale from the myriad encouraging cases will require expanded commitment to partnership, sustainability, capacity building and an unprecedented commitment by all stakeholders.*

**Private Sector Declaration Against HIV/AIDS, Bangkok, July 2004**  
**Signed by 29 global and national business coalitions**

*...there is no doubt that capacity issues pose a real challenge to successfully scaling up the response to AIDS, especially in the poorest countries. ...Addressing and resolving the most binding capacity constraints in the next few years will be critical. Unless these obstacles are overcome services cannot be scaled up, and prevention and treatment goals will not be met no*

**In short, how can companies engage in partnerships beyond their own**

## **A compelling humanitarian, economic and business case**

The human, economic, social and political costs of the HIV/AIDS pandemic are covered extensively elsewhere. No decent leader can ignore the basic facts and their impact -- that more than 20 million people have already died, with some 39-45 million more infected with HIV, 95% of whom live in developing countries and almost a third of whom are young people ages 15-24, and that 13 million children under the age of 15 having been orphaned, a number that is projected to double by 2010. It is suffice to say:

- There is a compelling **humanitarian and moral case** for tackling HIV/AIDS. This case is being made by growing numbers of political, religious, business and civic leaders, and by award-winning filmmakers, artists and journalists. There continues to be an urgent need, however, to communicate this story more widely

## II. MOBILIZING AROUND A COMMON VISION TO COMBAT AIDS IN THE DEVELOPING WORLD

*AIDS is not unbeatable. It is not a natural catastrophe we have to endure. But to get on top of the pandemic, we need to think big and act boldly. We need to invest up front. Unless we reach a high threshold of action and financing, our efforts are wasted.*

**Richard Feachem, Executive Director, Global Fund**

*“We now have in hand a range of proven, effective ways to control the spread of HIV and to prolong the lives of those who are already infected. The working group believes that scaling-up these established interventions could save millions of lives and bring the epidemic under control. But success will depend critically on how this is done.”*

**The Millennium Project task force on Combating AIDS in Developing Countries, 2005**

Despite the enormous challenges that persist, there has been some encouraging progress in the international response to HIV/AIDS.

First, there has been a **substantial, although still insufficient, increase in the funding** needed to tackle the epidemic. This increase has been driven in part by increased political commitment and budgets allocated to the epidemic by governments in affected countries. It has also been driven by the establishment of major donor initiatives, such as the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (The Global Fund), the World Bank’s Multi-Country AIDS Program (MAP), the U.S. President’ Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), and other bilateral initiatives. The leadership of several private and corporate foundations, most notably the Gates Foundation, but also others, has made another important contribution to increased funding.

Second, **much has now been learned about what works best in fighting the epidemic.** There is growing consensus among public health experts, medical scientists, policy makers, people living with HIV/AIDS, non-governmental organizations and businesses who have implemented AIDS programs, on the most effective and urgent interventions in the areas of prevention, treatment, care and mitigation, and on the need for more **comprehensive and systemic approaches** to integrating these different interventions.

There is also widespread acceptance that the challenge is not only about increased funding, and access to essential medicines, although both are crucial, but also about greater efforts to **build capacity in health systems and the public sector** more generally. This paper identifies three central pillars that appear to underpin effective, national-level responses to the HIV/AIDS epidemic: *comprehensive intervention strategies; committed leadership in all sectors, and public capacity*, as illustrated in Diagram 2 – all of which have relevance and potential areas of engagement for the private sector. The Millennium Project has also outlined what it calls ‘ten imperatives’ for addressing HIV/AIDS which offer another useful frame of reference for companies to think through key priorities and areas in which to get engaged (See Appendix II).



## DIAGRAM 2: CORE ELEMENTS OF EFFECTIVE HIV/AIDS RESPONSES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

<b>COMMITTED LEADERSHIP</b>	<b>CAPACITY in the PUBLIC SECTOR</b>	<b>COMPREHENSIVE and INTEGRATED INTERVENTIONS</b>
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

### **POLITICAL COMMITMENT**

- From the top of government and from ministries including but beyond the health ministry

### **CIVIC LEADERSHIP -**

National level leadership by AIDS activists, women's groups, people living with HIV/AIDS, celebrities, media, civic, religious and community leaders.

### **BUSINESS ENGAGEMENT -**

Strong direction from corporate leaders, companies and national or sector-based business associations and coalitions, built on foundation of workplace programs, but extending beyond these where relevant and effective

### **DONOR COORDINATION –**

Increased, predictable funding and coordination by public and private donors to support prevention-treatment-care and mitigation services; technical assistance / public sector capacity building; R&D on vaccines and microbicides; monitoring / accountability.

### **SHARED VISION –**

Agreement among the different sectors on an evidence-based, demand-driven (derived country-

### **III. BUSINESS CONTRIBUTIONS TO HELP ADDRESS PUBLIC**

of laboratory facilities, unreliable drug supply systems, and most important, lack of skilled healthcare workers.

The task force argued that, “although these two types of constraints interact in important ways both the nature and timescale of the solutions they require are quite different.”

It is crucial to emphasize again that governments must take the primary responsibility for mobilizing funds and technical capacity to address both of these two levels of constraint. No company or group of companies, no matter how large, can mobilize the billions of dollars or ensure the training, either directly or indirectly, of the estimated 4 million health workers that the Joint Learning Initiative has estimated need to be trained to provide basic healthcare services to all the people who need them.

Having said that, there is no doubt that the private sector, especially large national companies, multinational corporations and business associations, can play a role in working with governments to tackle at least some of the public ‘capacity gaps’ that are creating major obstacles in reversing or containing the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

Some potential areas of action, many of which are already being undertaken by a group of vanguard companies, are summarized in the following pages:

**DIAGRAM 3: BUSINESS CONTRIBUTIONS TO ADDRESSING PUBLIC ‘CAPACITY GAPS’**

<b>TYPE OF PUBLIC ‘CAPACITY GAP’</b>	<b>POTENTIAL FOR BUSINESS ENGAGEMENT – either individually or through business coalitions</b>
<p><b>(i) FINANCIAL CAPACITY</b> – Availability and sound management and allocation of sufficient public funds, insurance systems, official donor funds, philanthropic funds and private sector investments to finance and/or service HIV/AIDS priorities, including expanded access to essential medicines.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Making commercial investments;</li> <li>• Re-focusing corporate R&amp;D priorities;</li> <li>• Funding workplace HIV/AIDS programs;</li> <li>• Creating innovative insurance and financing mechanisms;</li> <li>• Making community level investments;</li> <li>• Undertaking socially responsible portfolio investments;</li> <li>• Supporting small and micro-enterprise and youth enterprise initiatives; and</li> <li>• Providing philanthropic funding;</li> </ul> <p>aimed at helping to directly or indirectly increase the level of financial resources that governments (or communities and people affected by HIV/AIDS) have at their disposal to respond to the epidemic?</p>

**(ii) INSTITUTIONAL &  
INFRASTRUCTURE  
CAPACITY –**

Establishment of well functioning medical facilities close to patients; laboratories and local R&D facilities; better transport; better procurement, logistics and supply chain management; information technology to support data collection, information provision and

**(iv) PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS AND EDUCATION CAPACITY** – media and education able to reach large numbers of people, with compelling, targeted messages that can induce and sustain behavior change and tackle stigma and indifference.

- Cause-related or social marketing campaigns;
- Funding government public health promotion or social

## **The need for partnership**

In almost all of the private sector interventions suggested above, there is a need for partnerships between groups of companies, between business and government, between the private sector and the donor community, and between companies, NGOs and community organizations. Few, if any, companies operating in an increasingly competitive and challenging global marketplace can undertake these initiatives on their own. The core business of business is, and must remain, the profitable production of goods and services, operating ethically and within the law. It is important not to create unrealistic expectations of what activities business can undertake in the fight against HIV/AIDS. Even the implementation of workplace programs is still at a very early and challenging stage.

One could argue that companies should focus on scaling up the implementation of their own workplace programs and nothing else. This would certainly provide services to millions of formal sector employees and should remain a key priority for any company with employees at risk. Yet, many affected or high-risk groups do not work in the formal sector. More is needed, and if approached with realistic expectations, more is possible.

## **Opportunities for increased business engagement**

In a January 2005 discussion paper, entitled *Opportunities for Business in the fight against HIV/AIDS*, ([www.businessfightsaids.org](http://www.businessfightsaids.org)) the authors from the University of Cape Town, Columbia University, and the Global Business Coalition, concluded, "Business is only doing a tiny percentage of what it could be doing to address HIV/AIDS. According to a recent survey of nearly 8,000 firms conducted by the World Economic Forum, 47% of firms felt the epidemic is having or will have some impact on their business, yet only 3% of these firms are satisfied with their companies' response to date. Now, twenty-five years after the AIDS pandemic began the majority of companies are still saying that AIDS is not their problem."

The authors argue that, "Business brings with it qualities that can turn the tide of the epidemic. The entrepreneurial spirit and problem-solving expertise that the private sector brings to the table means that most companies operate with a core set of skills that can be leveraged to positively impact the epidemic. Efficiency of operations, overcoming obstacles, responsibility for achieving concrete outcomes, and accurately gauging perceptions on human behavior help business to thrive and are prerequisites for success in battling the pandemic locally, nationally and internationally."

This view is strongly endorsed by Peter Dolan, Chairman and CEO of Bristol-Myers Squibb in a March 2005 Financial Times OpEd. Dolan comments, "While financial support must continue

view of how they can increase efforts to

**DIAGRAM 4:  
SIX BUILDING BLOCKS OF CORPORATE ENGAGEMENT TO TAKE  
EFFORTS TO SCALE BEYOND INTERNAL WORKPLACE PROGRAMS**

<p><b>1. Demonstrate good workplace programs to other companies</b> – host training, site visits, benchmarking efforts with companies just starting out and SMEs</p>
<p><b>2. Extend internal programs along corporate value chain</b> - business partners, suppliers and contractors, customers</p>
<p><b>3. Share core competencies and assets</b> - with community organizations, NGOs, media initiatives and government bodies</p>
<p><b>4. Make strategic philanthropic donations</b> – to performance-driven efforts that can leverage funds with greatest efficiency, impact and accountability</p>
<p><b>5. Help to build effective institutions</b> - business coalitions on HIV/AIDS, chambers of commerce, employers organizations, national and community-level intermediary and broker organizations</p>
<p><b>6. Engage in public policy dialogue and advocacy efforts</b> – at national country-level, with industry bodies, in international forums, and via the media</p>

Source: Adapted from Nelson, Jane *Business as Partners in Development*, IBLF, World Bank and UNDP, 1996 ([www.iblf.org](http://www.iblf.org)) and the *Business Action Model* of the Global Business Coalition on HIV/AIDS ([www.businessfightsaids.org](http://www.businessfightsaids.org))





The value-added of private sector leadership in the following partnerships and the additional effectiveness and impact of these partnerships in building public capacity at the country or community level requires further research and analysis. All have the potential to share lessons and models for other companies and governments to replicate. In some cases, they offer opportunities to expand business engagement and directly involve other companies:

**A) COMPREHENSIVE GLOBAL OR NATIONAL INITIATIVES AIMED AT SUPPORTING IMPLEMENTATION OF NATIONAL PLANS AND BUILDING HEALTH CAPACITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE**

**1. The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria** – this major global initiative places strong emphasis on allocating funds to build public capacity and overcome systemic constraints. It is also placing growing emphasis on working more strategically and directly with the private sector, not only by requesting direct funds and in-kind donations, but increasingly on country-level co-investment initiatives, which offer some of the greatest potential for scaling up the corporate contribution to HIV/AIDS beyond workplace programs.

**2. African Comprehensive HIV/AIDS partnerships (ACHAP)** – this is the most comprehensive and ambitious nationwide, country-level partnership currently underway. Established in 2000, ACHAP is led by a partnership consisting of the Government of Botswana, Merck and Co., and the Gates Foundation. It has recently been extended for a further five years. At its core, ACHAP seeks to support and enhance the national response to the HIV epidemic, in a comprehensive manner that is locally-driven and integrated into the country's own national strategy. After a slower than envisaged start-up phase, itself a reflection of local capacity and data constraints, the initiative is now starting to achieve scale, although challenges remain. A central component of the initiative has been building public capacity through transferring skills and strengthening the health care infrastructure. Interventions in this context have been wide-ranging and extensive covering almost all the public sector capacity gaps outlined in Diagram 3.

**3. Tanzania Care** – A partnership between Abbott, Abbott Laboratories Fund and the Government of Tanzania, this initiative aims to modernize the country's key public health care facilities and systems, to improve services and access to care for people living with

**B. PARTNERSHIPS TO CO-ORDINATE AND/OR EXTEND INTERNAL HIV/AIDS PROGRAMS ALONG CORPORATE VALUE-CHAINS AND INTO COMMUNITIES**

**5. Coca-Cola Africa** – the Coca-Cola Company, working with the Coca-Cola Africa Foundation and bottling partners in Africa, as well as UNAIDS, other UN agencies, and local partners, is engaged in a variety of co-operative efforts to build in-country capacity. These range from an initiative to roll out its workplace program to bottler employees and their families, to a variety of marketing, education and outreach programs in local communities, harnessing the company's renowned marketing and communications competencies. Many of these initiatives have helped to address systemic gaps and capacity constraints and have useful lessons to offer for the 'next wave' countries in Asia and Russia, where communications expertise will be crucial in overcoming denial, stigma, and lack of political will.

**6. Co-investment to expand community HIV/AIDS programs using corporate infrastructure and core competencies** – In December 2003, nine companies widely recognized as individual leaders in the fight against HIV/AIDS through their internal programs – ChevronTexaco, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, Lafarge, Anglo-American, DaimlerChrysler, Eskom, Heineken, and Tata Steel – announced a partnership with the Global Fund through which they would use their human capital, facilities, and other infrastructure to extend their workplace HIV/AIDS prevention and treatment programs into the communities where they operate, thereby reducing the start-up and running costs of public programs and community initiatives.

**C. COMPREHENSIVE EFFORTS FOCUSED ON VULNERABLE AND/OR HIGH-RISK POPULATION GROUPS**

**7. Secure the Future** – This is a five year \$115 million program of the Bristol-Myers Squibb Foundation clearly targeted at providing care and support for women and children affected by or infected with HIV/AIDS focused on selected countries in Africa. Working in partnership with the government, NGOs and community organizations in each country, a key goal is to find innovative, replicable and sustainable solutions for this crucial population group. Key components of the initiative place a strong emphasis on building country-level capacity of public and non-governmental and community organizations. The community outreach and education fund provides grants to NGOs and CBOs; the HIV Research Institute facilitates medical training and epidemiological and medical research aimed at women and children dealing with HIV/AIDS; and the Bristol-Myers Squibb Foundation NGO Institute aims to strengthen and build the capacity of NGOs and CBOs in areas such as leadership, management and governance.

**8. Step Forward** – An initiative of Abbott Laboratories and the Abbott Laboratories Fund, this program is targeted at improving the lives of AIDS orphans and vulnerable children by supporting model programs, with the potential of scale-up and replication, in four countries, with the following integrated approach: improving local healthcare

**9. Focusing on youth** - Given the high percentage of young people ages 15-24 infected with or at risk from HIV/AIDS, this is another critical population groups that needs targeted attention and offers opportunities for increased corporate engagement. Two interesting partnership models in this area are: **Coca-Cola Africa's continent-wide partnership with ASIESEC**, the world's largest student run organization, with an extensive network in university campuses around the world. Another is the **Anglo-American partnership with South African NGO LoveLife**, supported by the Global Fund, which supports a network of adolescent friendly clinics and communication efforts – aiming to establish 900 of such clinics within the next five years. A number of innovative media partnerships, especially those led by **MTV**, have youth as their main focus.

#### **D. PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS AND EDUCATION PARTNERSHIPS**

**10. Global Media AIDS Initiative** – This global partnership aims to activate media organizations to reach the world's people – especially youth – with information on how to prevent and treat HIV and to help combat AIDS-related stigma and discrimination. Still at an early stage, its members and their networks have immense potential to influence the spread of the epidemic in parts of Asia and Russia.

**11. Non-media companies supporting flagship media partnerships** – There are also a number of innovative partnerships and potential for many others, whereby non-media companies engage actively in media-based projects. Apart from the area of cause-related marketing partnerships, there is also potential for companies to support specific 'flagship' projects. Two examples are **BP and Old Mutual's support for Soul City**, alongside a number of government donors and foundations. This support has enabled Soul City to create a multimedia edutainment program that integrates AIDS awareness and has reached over 20 million young people between ages 16-35 in Africa.

The second is **General Motors' support for 'A Closer Walk'** the internationally acclaimed documentary on HIV/AIDS. GM has worked with the film's writer, producer and director, Bob Bilheimer since the release of the film two years ago, to support premiers and previews attended by key decision-makers and opinion formers in major cities around the world, including in high-prevalence or high-risk countries such as the Ukraine, South Africa, India and Thailand. It has also supported grassroots screenings and screenings in high schools and universities. The company has developed an integrated AIDS awareness and education strategy that aims to spread the message further by coordinating viewings of the film, translating into multiple languages and providing various dissemination formats.

Opportunities exist for other companies to get actively engaged in supporting multi-media efforts to increase dissemination and promotion of highly acclaimed communications, education and awareness-raising tools such as A Closer Walk and Soul City.

#### **E. PARTNERSHIPS FOCUSED ON BUILDING HUMAN CAPACITY AND TRAINING**

**12. Pfizer Health Fellows and the Infectious Diseases Institute in Uganda** - Pfizer is engaged in a variety of public-private partnerships with a central focus on building human capacity in both the health sector and more generally. They include the *Infectious Diseases Institute*, a partnership with Makerere University in Kampala, the Academic

Alliance for AIDS Care and Prevention (an association of African and North American infectious diseases experts) and several NGOs, which aims to train health care professionals and support local medical scientists in conducting operational research, in addition to providing HIV/AIDS treatment and care. Through the Pfizer Health Fellows program, the company sends between 20 and 30 of its professional employees each year for assignments of up to 6 months to support NGOs working in the area of HIV/AIDS as well as other public health threats in developing countries. This offers an interesting model for employee engagement and leadership development, which can also have a beneficial local multiplier impact.

## **G. GLOBAL, REGIONAL or NATIONAL BUSINESS LEADERSHIP COALITIONS**

**13. The Global Business Coalition On HIV/AIDS** – Launched in 1997 with less than ten members, today GBC has grown into an influential global network of over 170 business leaders with a clear strategy and a growing influence among political decision-makers and policy makers. It offers a useful mechanism for companies to get engaged in joint efforts to increase the range and quality of business sector AIDS programs – both in the workplace and broader community. In addition to providing business with frameworks and tools on how to respond to the epidemic, GBC encourages government bodies to partner with the private sector, and is helping to build the capacity of national-level business coalitions around the world.

**14. National business coalitions** – Some 25 in-country business leadership groups now exist, of varying quality and impact, but nonetheless offering another useful model for companies aiming to have an impact beyond their own workplace. The *Thai Business Initiative* on HIV/AIDS offers some useful partnership examples, and it is likely that the GBC's *China Working Group on HIV/AIDS*, will also have growing influence and impact, as the Chinese government takes on the challenge.

**15. Transatlantic Partners Against AIDS (TAPAA)** – This multisector initiative is focused on mobilizing corporate and other resources to combat the spread of HIV/AIDS in Russia, Ukraine and neighboring countries. It operates mainly through raising awareness, sharing good practices, training and advocacy efforts.

The above list of innovative partnerships aimed at building in-country capacity and taking HIV/AIDS interventions to scale is not exhaustive. In the pharmaceutical sector, in particular, there are a number of other highly regarded and effective partnership initiatives, such as GlaxoSmithKline's Positive Action, Merck and Harvard School of Public Health Enhancing Care Initiative, and Johnson and Johnson's training program for nurses and health administrators.

The 15 examples outlined, however, represent some of the most interesting mechanisms for engaging and mobilizing many more companies and for leveraging their core competencies, networks and strategic assets, as well as their money, in the effort to address systemic challenges in tackling HIV/AIDS beyond the workplace. All of these examples provide models worthy of further analysis, and in most cases they offer potential for scale-up and replication, either by engaging other companies or by being implemented and adapted in other locations.



## APPENDIX I: THE UN MILLENNIUM PROJECT'S TEN IMPERATIVES FOR ACTION

*The text in this box is summarized verbatim from the Executive Summary of the Millennium Project Task Force report 'Combating AIDS in Developing Countries', January 2005, pages 4-12. For the full text in each of these areas and full report see [www.unmillenniumproject.org](http://www.unmillenniumproject.org)*

**1. REINVIGORATE PREVENTION** - Prevention must be the mainstay of the response to the epidemic, as only by preventing new infections can the epidemic eventually be brought under control. The long-overdue drive to expand treatment – energized by the WHO/UNAIDS initiative to provide antiretroviral therapy to 3 million people by 2005 (“3 by 5”) and large influxes of funds - has mobilized activists, national governments, and the UN system, and now dominates the AIDS agenda at all levels. Every effort must now be made to bring the same sense of urgency and excitement to meeting ambitious prevention goals.

**2. FOCUS ON VULNERABLE POPULATIONS** – The working group reiterates the fundamental importance of focusing prevention efforts on populations most at risk, especially in concentrated epidemics. Few elements of HIV prevention doctrine rest on as solid an empirical and theoretical foundation.

**3. ENSURE EQUITABLE ACCESS TO TREATMENT** – Only treatment can prolong the lives of the 39 million people who already carry HIV and, in the highest prevalence countries, forestall continued catastrophic rates of illness and death and the attendant social and economic devastation. Moreover, the current situation, in which access to life-saving treatment is primarily determined by ability to pay or country of residence, is fundamentally unjust. ...The working group believes that the greatest barrier to meeting the goal of widespread access to treatment is the deplorable state of health systems in most of the hardest-hit countries. Poverty, misplaced priorities, and years of externally imposed restrictions on social spending have left health services for over 2 billion people dysfunctional, inaccessible, or priced beyond the reach of the poor. ...In Russia, China Viet Nam and many other places [facing concentrated HIV epidemics] it will be very important to ensure that access to treatment is not denied to the very groups that need it most.

**4. INVEST IN HEALTH SYSTEMS AS AIDS SERVICES ARE EXPANDED** – Even with the most creative delivery strategies, it will be impossible to bring antiretroviral treatment to all who need it in the poorest countries without strengthening health systems and recruiting and training new health workers.

**5. INTEGRATE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT** – The working group shares the current enthusiasm for integrating prevention and treatment. We call for the incorporation of concrete prevention elements into treatment plans now being developed in many countries.

**6. ADDRESS ROOT CAUSES: EMPOWER WOMEN AND GIRLS** – Prevention and care programs will fail if they ignore the underlying determinants of the epidemic: poverty; gender inequality; and social dislocation. The relative powerlessness of women and girls, together with pervasive gender attitudes and practices, contribute particularly strongly to the spread of HIV. ...the most powerful answers to the problem of women's vulnerability will be those that transcend AIDS: promoting girls' education; guaranteeing equal property rights and economic opportunity; and combating violence against women.

**7. PLAN FOR ORPHANS AND VULNERABLE CHILDREN** – This enormous tragedy has received far too little attention. Countries must develop national strategies for assisting families and communities to care for orphans, ensuring that they are able to attend school, protecting them from exploitation, and enforcing their rights to property. Donors and international organizations must provide greatly expanded resources and technical assistance.

**8. REQUIRE MORE FROM THE UNITED NATIONS** – The working group believes the UN could do more, particularly in holding accountable member nations that have failed to honor their commitments to fight AIDS and doing more to help countries meet their objectives by providing more useful and appropriate technical and management assistance.

**9. EXPAND INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC FINANCING AND REMOVE BARRIERS TO USE** – International Financing for AIDS and, more broadly, for building the health systems needed to combat the epidemic remains insufficient. ...Moreover, donor aid in general must be more predictable and free of conditions that reduce efficiency and distort policy. While the poorest countries cannot defeat AIDS without much greater help from the international community, they can demonstrate commitment by increasing national spending on AIDS and health systems, creating a true partnership with donors.

**10. EMPOWER GOVERNMENTS AND HOLD THEM ACCOUNTABLE** – National ownership and control should be an overriding principle: donors and international organizations must ensure that their work contributes to national priorities and national plans as defined by governments, working with other stakeholders. ...where well-developed government strategies are in place, donors should move toward broad and flexible financing of government programs, including capacity-building and salary support. The working group endorses UNAIDS' call for "three ones" at the country level: one AIDS action framework, one national AIDS coordinating body, and one monitoring and evaluation system. In many of the hardest-hit countries, as well as those threatened by growing epidemics – India, China, Russia – AIDS still does not receive sufficient attention and resources from national leaders and governments. ...National governments should be required [by donors] to demonstrate how they plan to combat the epidemic, who will be responsible and how progress will be measured.



## **THE AUTHOR**

Jane Nelson is a Senior Fellow and Director of the Corporate Social Responsibility Initiative at the Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, and a Director at the Prince of Wales International Business Leaders Forum (IBLF). During 2001 she worked in the office of the UN Secretary-General, Kofi Annan, preparing a report for the United Nations General Assembly on cooperation between the UN and the private sector. Prior to joining the IBLF, Jane was a Vice President at Citibank and responsible for marketing for the bank's Worldwide Securities Services business in Asia Pacific. She has been a lecturer in agricultural economics at the University of Natal in South Africa, and has worked for the Business Council for Sustainable Development in Africa preparing for the 1992 Rio Earth Summit and for FUNDES (Fundación para desarrollo sostenible) in Latin America undertaking research on small enterprise development. Jane has authored a variety of books and publications on the changing role of business in society, especially in emerging markets. She has been a Rhodes Scholar at Oxford, a Rotary International student, a fellow of the 21st Century Trust, an Aspen Institute scholar, and recipient of the Keystone Center's 2005 Leadership in Education Award.