

## B ff

4

By Henry Webber (Washington University, St. Louis)

I have spent a large percentage of my working life thinking about and acting to strengthen the relationship between universities, communities, and cities. For eleven years, I led the University of Chicago's efforts in this area. For the past four years, I have been helping to shape Washington University in St. Louis's urban strategy. In this Policy Brief, I describe the University of Chicago and Washington University experiences and then offer ten propositions about the characteristics of successful university-city partnerships.

The University of Chicago has perhaps the longest history of intense attention to urban issues of any major American research university. There are three reasons for this:

- 1. A deep connection between the University and its surrounding neighborhood. Unusual for an urban university, the majority of the faculty of the University of Chicago lives in the immediate neighborhood. The neighborhoods the intellectual community of the University.
- A history of deep intellectual engagement with the City of Chicago and applied problems. Two of the prst four

units of the University were the

4

Henry Webber is Executive Vice Chancellor for Administration at Washington University in St. Louis where he is also a faculty member in Washington University\*s Schools of Architecture and Social Work. He previously served as Vice President for Government and Community Airs and Senior Lecturer at the University of Chicago.

This Policy Brief is based on remarks he made as a panelist at an October 2011 symposium on •Reimagining the City-University ConnectionZ that was cosponsored by the Rappaport Institute for Greater Boston, the RadeliInstitute for Advanced Study, and the City of Boston. More information about that symposium is available online at http://www.reimagining-the-city-university-connection.com

© 2012 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. The contents re ect the views of the author (who is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the research herein) and do not represent the cial views or policies of the Rappaport Institute for Greater Boston, the Radelinstitute for Advanced Studies, and the Boston Area Research Initiative.

В

Harvard Kennedy School 79 John F. Kennedy Street Cambridge, MA 02138

http://www.rappaportinstitute.org 617-495-5091

Π.

Α

Academic Ventures 10 Garden Street Cambridge, MA 02138

http://www.radcli e.edu 617-384-6742

the university was forced to ask the attractive but a little boring. The great urbanist question: Can we continue as a world- Jane Jacobs was very critical of urban renewal class university if we are located in a seia Hyde Park, arguing that it had imposed a of urban blight? suburban plan on an urban neighborhood. She

The initial response to the crisis was urban renewal. In the late 1950s, the University of Chicago partnered with the City of Chicago to become one of therst urban renewal neighborhoods in the country. Together they undertook a dramatic program to preserve a middle-class, mixed-race community in Hyde Park.

The strategy involved the physical reshaping of the neighborhood: removing vibrant but run-down and crime-ridden commercial and residential properties, reducing neighborhood density, building townhouses, and encouraging University expansion and other institutional uses. In addition, there was a strong focus on neighborhood safety. The University of Chicago developed and still maintains a robust police presence in the Hyde Park neighborhood.

By many measures, urban renewal in Hyde Park was successful; far more successful than urban renewal most places in the country. The neighborhood has maintained itself for 50 years as an attractive, truly integrated urban neighborhood.

On the other hand, the displacement of a considerable number of minority residents during urban renewal contributed to great estrangement between the University and its South Side neighbors and the physical changes in the neighborhood left Hyde Park

programs that had a community focus as well as scholarly interest, most notably the University's Urban Education Initiative and its center for policy discussion. Urban Health Initiative. While there is much left to do, the strategy was successful, and the strengths to key regional issues, notably in mid-South Side of Chicago is a much more vibrant place now than it was 20 years ago.

Cities need services for vulnerable populations and smart public policies. Academic institutions need to educate students and produce research. The challenge is not to change either party, but to nd projects that serve both parties.

In 2007, I moved to Washington University in St. Louis. The context was very different and the University faced different challenges. Most neighborhoods surrounding Washington University are strong; some are among the most attractive neighborhoods in the St. Louis metropolitan area. However, as a city, St. Louis is challenged. It is the epitome of an older industrial city (albeit an older industrial city with a great baseball team). The region is not growing much and has not grown quickly for a long time. It is not good for a global university to be in a stagnant region.

To the extent there are pockets of success in the region, the success of the University itself must be noted. Washington University added 3,000 jobs in the past decade and now has 13,000 employees, making it the third largest private employer in the St. Louis region. In total, it has a \$5.2 million impact on the regional economy each year.

In this context, Washington University seeks to contribute to regional economic growth

through partnerships with others and also to public discussion of key issues by serving as a

The University also seeks to apply its academic the areas of public health, public education, and growing entrepreneurship and innovation, which it encourages in many ways, including a large investment in a research park. In each area, the University is implementing an aggressive agenda.

This work leads me to offer the following propositions about the nature of effective cityuniversity partnerships.

- 1. Sustainable partnerships are based on mutual self-interest and have clear rules of engagementCities need services for vulnerable populations and smart public policies. Academic institutions need to educate students and produce research. The challenge is not to change either party but tend projects that serve both parties. Furthermore, academic research on urban issues of interest to cities usually involves access to public data sets such as school records or crime statistics. It is critical that the provider of the data and the academics doing research work out the inevitable issues of exactly what will be done with the data, how it will be released, and whether the public agency will have the ability to comment on results prior to publication. To fail to work these issues in advance is to invite conct.
- 2. Many of the strongest partnerships between universities and cities are based on academic programs that combine service with research and human capital development. The model for such partnerships is the work of

academic medical centers. The Urban Education Initiative at the University of Chicago replicates this model in another arena. Let me be clear: it is good when a medical school sponsors a free Saturday clinic where medical students and faculty volunteer their time. It is far better when a medical school offers a primary care medicine program that combines partnerships with local community health centers with training resident physicians to serve as future providers in such clinics, and builds a research program in community health. The closer University service efforts are to teaching and research the more sustainable they are.

A key question for all before engaging in academic research on a policy initiative is what will be done with the results of the analysis.

- 3. In most cases, academic institutions can only be helpful in solving public problems in areas of pre-existing academic strengthsAs broad and impressive as great universities are, they do not have strength in all areas. It would be very good for St. Louis, for example, if Washington University had a strong regional economics program. We do not and hence need to contribute in other ways to the challenges facing our region.
- 4. Academic analysis of key public initiatives is risky for all. The hallmark of academic research is the independence of the investigator. That means the investigator may welld out that the most cherished program of the city partner — or even the university itself — has little impact on the problem

- the program is trying to solve. Research is not consulting. By its nature, it often exposessaws. A key question for all before engaging in academic research on a policy initiative is what will be done with the results of the analysis.
- 5. Universities and their civic partners are likely to value the components of their partnerships differently. Issues of limited interest to the academy, such as accurate reviews of trends over time, are often of great interest to public agencies. The Consortium on Chicago School Research, for example, has produced many groundbreaking studies done with great methodological sophistication by well-known faculty. Many in the Chicago Public School system have told me that the most valuable report the Consortium does is its annual report, prepared by a junior analyst at the Consortium, on the drop-out rate in Chicago Public Schools.
- 6. University-city partnerships have high transaction costs and require staff with skills at "bridge-building." Staff who have experience on both sides of the fence are critical to successful partnerships.
- 7. Neighborhood change is difficult to achieve and inevitably is coflictual. Neighborhoods change slowly over long periods of time. Even at best, those changes do not beheall. There are always winners and losers. Therefore, universities that seek neighborhood change must expect doingt.
- 8. Contributing to regional economic growth is both expected of universities in much of the country and difficult to achieve.Universities are not economic growth machines. They select faculty because of their contributions to knowledge creation and teaching ability, not because faculty are marvels at job

or Prm creation. At the same time, the decline of other industries in many cities, along with the growth of university budgets and the examples of Route 128 and the Silicon Valley, inevitably turn public attention to universities as tools for economic growth. Getting better at company formation and technology transfer is a virtual requirement for universities, particularly public universities, over the next decade.

9. There is great value in universities developing operating programs that test new ideas. The best bridge between theory and practice is the development of models of progress. Such programs force academics to deal with real world implementation problems and, if successful, provide proof on the ground of success. Charter schools, community health clinics, and early childhood education centers are examples of model program areas. Praxis is a good thing.

10. Universities can and should serve as forums for regional discussions of

В G